

**Carstens,
Yee &
Cahoon, L.L.P.**

13760 Noel Road
Suite 900
Dallas, Texas 75240

Main No. (972) 367-2001
Facsimile (972) 367-2002

Facsimile Cover Sheet

To: Examiner Khanh Dinh USPTO, Art Unit: 2155	Facsimile No.: (703) 746-5510 Main No.of Receiving Firm: (703) 308-8528
From: Rebecca Clayton Legal Assistant to Stephen J. Walder, Jr,	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 2
Message:	
Following is an agenda for the telephone interview scheduled for Wednesday, March 19, 2003, at 2:00 p.m. (EST). As previously arranged, Steve Walder will call you tomorrow afternoon.	
Thank you.	
C/M # No. AT9-98-737; Serial No. 09/292,190	
DATE: Monday, March 17, 2003	

Please contact us at (972) 367-2001 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.

This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.

AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Application Serial No. 09/292,190
Attorney Docket No. AT9-98-737

I. Overview of Invention**II. 35 U.S.C. § 102 (Claims 1-7, 10, 12-17 and 22)**

- A. Every feature of the claims must be identically shown in the reference.
- B. French teaches that a configuration file may have a primary server name and a plurality of secondary server names to which a server responds
- C. French does not teach generating a server name mask.
- D. French does not teach execution of a function in a server name context based on a generated server name mask.
- E. French does not provide any suggestion or teaching to generate a server name mask or to execute a function in a server name context based on the server name mask.
- F. French does not teach the specific features recited in the dependent claims.
- G. Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c), French cannot be used in a 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection.

Why
after 11/2000
Same can be 102
ASSinged not 103